

Saratoga Cemetery District

Management Report

June 30, 2010

Saratoga Cemetery District

Management Report

Table of Contents

<u>Item</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
General Introduction	1
Summary of Current and Prior Year Comments and Recommendations	2
Appendix:	
Audit/Finance Committee Letter	1-3



Charles Z. Fedak & Company

Certified Public Accountants
An Accountancy Corporation

6081 Orange Avenue
Cypress, California 90630
(714) 527-1818
(562) 598-6565
FAX (714) 527-9154
EMAIL czfco@czfcpa.com

CONFIDENTIAL

Board of Trustees
Saratoga Cemetery District
Saratoga, California

Dear Members of the Board:

We have audited the basic financial statements of the Saratoga Cemetery District (District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of District's internal control.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis.

A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected.

Our consideration on internal control was for the limited period described in the first paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses.

We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above; however, we did identify a control deficiency.

Our comments, all of which have been discussed with the appropriate members of management, are summarized as follows:

Summary of Current and Prior Year Comments and Recommendations

Segregation of Duties

The District Manager's responsibilities include cash collections, receipting, posting and depositing of cash collections, personnel/payroll and office administration. The District's current level of staffing appears to be appropriate to account for the current level of activity. However, the size of the District does not allow for the proper segregation of duties.

The Board should review the areas of responsibility and workloads of the District Manager in order to determine if the accounting functions segregation of duties are in order. In particular, the review should address the issues of not allowing the same person to collect, deposit and post cash receipts. Also, the payroll processing function should be reviewed. Achieving the appropriate organizational structure of the District will allow for the proper segregation of duties.

Management's Response

District's management concurs with the comment and recommendation and will review these areas with the Board of Directors.

Current Year Assessment

The District utilizes an external accountant to prepare the District's books and records for the annual audit. The District utilizes this external account to prepare various reconciliation reports and financial statement summaries for the District on a monthly basis to increase the internal controls and segregation of duties at the District.

* * * * *

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board and management of the District. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this letter, which is a matter of public record.

We appreciate the courtesy and cooperation extended to us during our examination. We would be pleased to discuss the contents of this letter with you at your convenience. Please do not hesitate to contact us.

September 16, 2010
Cypress, California

Cliff Hall, CPA
An Accounting Corporation

APPENDIX

Saratoga Cemetery District

Audit/Finance Committee Letter

June 30, 2010



Charles Z. Fedak & Company

Certified Public Accountants
An Accountancy Corporation

6081 Orange Avenue
Cypress, California 90630
(714) 527-1818
(562) 598-6565
FAX (714) 527-9154
EMAIL czfco@czfcpa.com

Board of Trustees
Saratoga Cemetery District
Saratoga, California

We have audited the basic financial statements of the Saratoga Cemetery (District) for the year ended June 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated September 16, 2010. Generally accepted auditing standards require that we provide the Governing Board and management with the following information related to our audit of the District's basic financial statements.

Auditor's Responsibility under United States Generally Accepted Auditing Standards

As stated in our Audit Engagement Letter dated March 24, 2010, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to express an opinion about whether the basic financial statements prepared by management with oversight of the Governing Board are fairly presented, in all material respects, in conformity with United States generally accepted accounting principles. Our audit of the financial statements does not relieve the Governing Board or management of its responsibilities of oversight in the District's external financial reporting process or any other processes.

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the basic financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Governmental Auditing Standards*.

We are responsible for communicating significant matters related to the audit that are, in our professional judgment, relevant to your responsibilities in overseeing the financial reporting process. However, we are not required to design procedures specifically to identify such matters.

Planned Scope and Timing of the Audit

We performed the audit according to the planned scope and timing requirements previously communicated to management in our Audit Engagement Letter.

Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by the District are described in Note 1 to the basic financial statements.

We noted no transactions entered into by the District during fiscal year 2010 for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. There are no significant transactions that have been recognized in the financial statements in a different period than when the transaction occurred.

Management's Judgments, Accounting Estimates and Financial Disclosures

Accounting estimates play an integral part in the preparation of basic financial statements by management and are based upon management's knowledge, experience and current judgment(s) about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the basic financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. The most sensitive estimate(s) affecting the position in the basic financial statements is (are):

Management's estimate of the fair value of cash and investments is based on information provided by financial institutions. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the fair value of cash and investments in determining that it is reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Management's estimate of capital assets depreciation is based on historical estimates of each capitalized item's useful life expectancy or cost recovery period. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the capital asset depreciation calculations in determining that they are reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

The disclosures in the basic financial statements are neutral, consistent and clear. Certain basic financial statement disclosures are particularly sensitive because of their significance to financial statement users. The most sensitive disclosure(s) affecting the basic financial statements is (are):

The disclosure of fair value of cash and investments in Note 2 to the basic financial statements represents amounts susceptible to market fluctuations.

The disclosure of capital assets, net in Note 3 to the basic financial statements is based on historical information which could differ from actual useful lives of each capitalized item.

Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Generally Accepted Auditing Standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, except those that are considered trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management as follows:

There were no audit adjustments proposed by the auditor to the original trial balance presented to us to begin our audit.

Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves the application of an accounting principal to the District's basic financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

Other Audit Findings or Issues

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the District's auditor. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit processes and testwork.

Disagreements with Management

For the purpose of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a financial accounting, reporting or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction that could be significant to the basic financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit of the District.

Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the Management Representational Letter to the Auditor dated September 16, 2010.

Conclusion

We appreciate the cooperation extended us by Gary Reed, District Manager, in the performance of our audit testwork.

We will be pleased to respond to any questions you have about the foregoing. We appreciate the opportunity to continue to be of service to the District.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board and management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified, parties. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this letter, which is a matter of public record.

September 16, 2010
Cypress, California

Chapman & Associates
An Accounting Corporation